### Fibbing: Central Control over Distributed Routing

www.fibbing.net



Olivier Tilmans UCLouvain

Routing Area Open Meeting Nov. 15, 2016

Joint work with S. Vissicchio (UCL), L. Vanbever (ETH Zürich) and J. Rexford (Princeton)

### Fibbing

# Fibbing

### Consider this example network.



### Consider this example network.



Link-state Interior Gateway Protocols (IGPs) exchange reachability information to infer the topology of the network.



The intra-domain traffic flows along the shortest path on the shared topology.

**Control-Plane** 

В 2 **R1** 2 3 R2 **R**3 **R4** С

#### Data-Plane



IGPs cause operators to follow a **descriptive** management process.

### Fibbing: Central Control over Distributed Routing

www.fibbing.net



### 1. Controlling distributed protocols

2. Fibbing today's networks

3. Case study: On-demand load-balancing

4. Takeaways

### Fibbing enables declarative management.

Centralizes high level routing decisions. Operators describe their requirements to a controller.

Keeps the route installation distributed.
Each router independently computes its FIB.

Leverages the IGP messages as API. We study *which* message to send.

### Operators specify paths that must be enforced.



# The controller injects one IGP message adding a fake node and links.



### IGP flooding propagates the information.



### The Fibbing message *augments* the topology.



# Augmented topologies translate into new control-plane paths.



Augmented topologies translate into new data-plane paths.

#### **Control-Plane**



#### **Data-Plane**



# Chaining multiple fake nodes enables to program complex paths.

requirements (A, R1, R4, C,**blue**)



# Chaining multiple fake nodes enables to program complex paths.



# Chaining multiple fake nodes enables to program complex paths.

requirements (A, R1, R4, C,**blue**)



Augmented topologies can be reduced to optimize the number of fake nodes.

Naive augmentation

#### **Reduced augmentation**





### Fibbing preserves the scalability of IGPs.

We can compute augmented topologies in  $\mathcal{O}(ms)$ Ensures quick reaction to changes

We can reduce augmented topologies in O(s)
Ensures limited control-plane overhead

Fibbing leverages the robustness of IGPs.

Fast failure detection and recovery

Survive controller failure

Support fail-close and fail-open semantics

Fibbing can enforce any set of loop-free paths, on a per destination basis.

### Fibbing: Central Control over Distributed Routing

www.fibbing.net



1. Controlling distributed protocols

#### 2. Fibbing today's networks

3. Case study: On-demand load-balancing

4. Takeaways

### We have a working Fibbing controller prototype.

The controller maintains an OSPF adjacency to one router

Topology discovery using the adjacency

Tested against IOS, NX-OS, JunOS

The "forwarding address" has one other application. It enables routers in the Autonomous System's interior to function as "route servers".

RFC2328, §2.3–Use of external routing information

If the forwarding address is non-zero, [...] install the AS external path to N, with next hop equal to the list of next hops to the forwarding address

RFC2328, §16.4–Calculating AS external routes

## Fake nodes can be injected using LSA types 5/7.



RFC2328, §A.4.5-AS-external-LSAs

### Using T5/7 LSAs comes at a price.

Different expressivity model

Can only affect prefixes from other T5/T7 LSAs

Does not exist in IS-IS!

# Using T5/7 LSAs has (almost) no overhead on routers and is fast.

No measurable impact on SPF duration

10 000 LSAs eat 14.5 MB of DRAM

900 $\mu$ s to push one fibbed route to the FIB

### Fibbing: Central Control over Distributed Routing

www.fibbing.net



1. Controlling distributed protocols

2. Fibbing today's networks

3. Case study: On-demand load-balancing

4. Takeaways

# The initial IGP configuration has a bottleneck towards router C.

#### **Control-Plane**



# The initial IGP configuration has a bottleneck towards router C.

**Control-Plane** 

В 2 **R1** 2 3 R2 R3 R4 С

**Data-Plane** 



#### **Control-Plane**



#### **Control-Plane**



#### **Control-Plane**



#### **Data-Plane**



#### **Control-Plane**



#### **Data-Plane**



# Fibbing controls the splitting ratios across equal-cost paths.

#### **Control-Plane**



# Fibbing controls the splitting ratios across equal-cost paths.

#### **Control-Plane**



# Fibbing controls the splitting ratios across equal-cost paths.

#### **Control-Plane**



#### **Data-Plane**



# The Fibbing controller reacts and adds more paths to spread the load, in real time.



- We initially have 1 flow from S1 to D1.
  - At time t = 14s, we start 30 new flows from S1 to D1.
  - At time t = 35s, we start 30 flows from S2 to D2.

### Fibbing: Central Control over Distributed Routing

www.fibbing.net



1. Controlling distributed protocols

2. Fibbing today's networks

3. Case study: On-demand load-balancing

4. Takeaways

Modifying the shared topology is powerful.

Enables optimal, real-time, TE in the control plane

Does not impact data-plane

Gives some control over BGP/MPLS-LDP

Simplifies configurations through exception-based routing

### Fibbing: Central Control over Distributed Routing

www.fibbing.net



Tell me lies, tell me sweet little lies — Fleetwood Mac

Olivier Tilmans olivier.tilmans@uclouvain.be